

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,914	01/29/2007	Klaus Daffner	102132-29	4571
27388 7550 1026/2010 Hildebrand, Christa Norris McLaughlin & Marcus PA 875 Third Avenue, 8th Floor New York, NY 10022			EXAM	IINER
			KHAN, MEHMOOD B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/550,914	DAFFNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MEHMOOD B. KHAN	2617	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTIORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed atte SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  - Failur to reply within the set or standed period for reply will by testiac, cause the application to become ABANDONEC (58 U.S.C, § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term deliximent. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08/19/2010</u> .					
2a)☑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

Attaciment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Tinformation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2617

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/23/2010 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/19/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the RSA 2 of Laumen is not the claimed polled e-mail server. Applicant states that a query has to be made to the server from a recipient.

The Examiner would like to point out that RSA 2 can be a polled server as stated by Laumen in 0007, where a GET message is sent to a server for the retrieval of email.

Applicant argues that the message is not encapsulated.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Laumen clearly discloses modification of existing header fields to the relevant WAP messages in WAP-MMSEncapsulation. See also 0141.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/550,914 Art Unit: 2617

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8-15, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laumen et al. (US 2003/0086438 herein Laumen) in view of Gabriel et al. (US 2004/0082348 herein Gabriel).

Claim 1, Laumen discloses a method for immediate delivery of an e-mail (0008, SMTP, email since SMTP is a known protocol for sending emails) to a telecommunication device of a recipient (0008, UAB 11, 0006, UAB is an application on a user mobile device) via at least one telecommunication network (0008, Fig. 3: 6, Public Land Mobile Network).

wherein the e-mail is transmitted (0009, MMs originate from UAA) from a sender (0008, UAA 11, MM is forwarded) via a polled e-mail server (0008, Fig. 3: 2, Relay/Server (RSA 2), since message is sent via "RSA 2", thus it is a polled server) to the recipient

delivering the e-mail (0041, delivering the MM to the UAB 11, via "RSB 12") to a telecommunication terminal (0041, UAB 11) of the recipient via conventional MMS or WAP push systems (0041, Fig. 4: 12, RSB 12, MMS servers using WAP),

in that in that the e-mails are forwarded from the e-mail (0042, sending MM between "RSA 2" to "RSB 12") server to a specially configured push mail server (0042, Fig. 4: 12, "RSB 12") based on the e-mail address of the recipient (0363, receiving address in the message).

Laumen inherently discloses wherein the push mail server encapsulates the email in a suitable content type, so that the e-mail can be transmitted via MMS or WAP push format (0041, delivery using WAP)

Art Unit: 2617

Laumen does not explicitly disclose from where they are delivered to the telecommunication terminal based on a telephone number which is included in the e-mail [[or determined from a database, via conventional MMS or WAP push systems]].

In an analogous art, Gabriel discloses from where they are delivered to the telecommunication terminal based on a telephone number, which is included in the e-mail (0233, where Gabriel discloses sending the message to the intended recipient, after parsing the message). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Laumen by forwarding emails to devices based on addresses as taught by Gabriel so as to send messages to incompatible and distant cellular networks (0007).

Claim 2, Laumen discloses the e-mail address of the recipient (0347).

Laumen does not explicitly disclose wherein a subscriber account is established for each subscriber on the push mail server, the subscriber account including at least the telephone number of at least one telecommunication terminal and the original email address of the recipient.

In an analogous art, Gabriel discloses wherein a subscriber account is established for each subscriber on the push mail server (0233, where Gabriel discloses account information), Gabriel discloses the subscriber account including at least the telephone number of at least one telecommunication terminal and the original email address of the recipient (0233, where Gabriel discloses a phone number, and 0234, where Gabriel discloses sending messages to the user's email). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Laumen to include account information and telephone numbers as

Art Unit: 2617

taught by Gabriel so as to send messages to incompatible and distant cellular networks (0007).

Claim 3, Laumen discloses wherein forwarding of the e-mail from the e-mail server to the push mail server is controlled by a device and by applying forwarding rules (Fig. 4: 2 where forwarding of emails is based is done by a RSA).

Claim 4, Laumen does not explicitly disclose wherein the push mail server determines the telephone number of the telecommunication terminal of the recipient from the employed push mail address.

In an analogous art, Gabriel discloses wherein the push mail server determines the telephone number of the telecommunication terminal of the recipient from the employed push mail address (0233, where Gabriel discloses parsing the address). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Laumen to include parsing the address as taught by Gabriel so as to send messages to incompatible and distant cellular networks (0007).

Claim 5, Laumen discloses wherein the push mail server is connected to the MMS or WAP push systems of the employed telecommunication network (Fig. 4: 2 & 12, where Laumen discloses a MMS-WAP push system).

Claim 6, Laumen discloses wherein the function of the push mail server is integrated directly in the existing e-mail server (0006, 0007, Fig. 2: 2,12, where Application/Control Number: 10/550,914
Art Unit: 2617

Laumen discloses that it is well known to have a relay and server as one configuration).

Claim 8, Laumen discloses wherein a "message/rfc822" is employed as a content type (0008, 0373, where Laumen discloses an email address).

Claim 10, Laumen discloses wherein a conventional WAP client or MMS client, which detects and processes encapsulated e-mails, is installed in the telecommunication terminal (0041, where Laumen discloses an UAB at the terminal).

Claim 11, Laumen discloses wherein, if message units encapsulated with the special content type are detected, the e-mail contained therein is extracted and transmitted to the e-mail client of the telecommunication terminal (0347, where Laumen discloses delivery via an email address).

Claim 12, as analyzed with respect to the limitations as discussed in claim 1.

Claim 13, Laumen discloses wherein the telecommunication terminal is a mobile telecommunication terminal (0006, where Laumen discloses a mobile radio).

Claim 14, Laumen discloses wherein the telecommunication terminal is a landline telecommunication terminal (0013, where Laumen discloses a computer).

Art Unit: 2617

Claim 15, as analyzed with respect to the limitations as discussed in claim 2.

Claim 17, as analyzed with respect to the limitations as discussed in claim 10.

Claim 18, as analyzed with respect to the limitations as discussed in claim 10.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laumen in view of Gabriel in view of Ala-Luukko et al. (US 2003/0064706 herein Luukko).

Laumen in view of Gabriel does not disclose wherein the push mail server includes a billing mechanism, which is used to produce toll tickets for the billing system of telecommunication network operators or other service providers.

In an analogous art, Ala-Luukko discloses wherein the push mail server includes a billing mechanism, which is used to produce toll tickets for the billing system of telecommunication network operators or other service providers (0023, where Ala-Luukko discloses a billing tickets). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Laumen in view of Gabriel to include billing tickets as taught by Ala-Luukko so as to track message transactions.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

Application/Control Number: 10/550,914 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MEHMOOD B. KHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-9277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 am -5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> /M. B. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617